G. R. S. 59] GPB—15.030—22-7-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1903.—04 (Municipal) p 13

File No. ~~92~~ 91

Serial Nos. 1 — 4

~~Papers Filed.~~ B

Month June 04

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Raghavendra Rao, Munl Daroga at Siddlaghatta regarding his degradation

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
Endorsed — BBLawkes	Communicated

Office of the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore. General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. (if any)

Directions.

No., date and purport of receipts to be entered in red, and office note in black ink in order of dates.—To be written inside the line of margin.

Flag A.

P 418 dated 30-10-03.

Office Note.

FILE No.

SERIAL No. Local Boards Branch.

Letter P 781 dated the 29th April 1904, from the President of the Municipalities in the Kolar District.

Subject :—

Re the degradation of Raghavendra Rao, Municipal Daroga of Siddaghatta

---

The Honorary Sub Assistant Surgeon, Kolar District, in submitting his inspection report of certain places in the District affected by Plague, has brought to the notice of the Deputy Commissioner (Mr. Carr) that the Municipal Daroga in Siddaghatta, whose sole duty was to look after the sanitation work in the town, was very careless and was not paying proper attention to keep the town clean, and to attend to its sanitary condition, and suggested that the said Daroga might be placed under the orders of the Hospital Assistant in Siddaghatta, who was entrusted by

with [illegible] work of desiccating the town with the help of the Palace.

2. The Deputy Commissioner thereupon passed orders removing the Daroga & Police peon, and appointing Bettaya (Daroga's peon) in his stead

Flag B.
No 407 dt 1-11-03

3. On 28th November 1903 the late Daroga submitted a petition to the Deputy Commissioner setting forth his grievances in detail & asking for his re-instatement, and the same was referred by Mr Swinburne Iyengar the Assistant Commissioner, for his opinion Mr Swinburne Iyengar reported that the late Daroga should have learnt a good lesson by the punishment inflicted on him and recommended that he (C. Daroga) might be reinstated in his place from 1st January 1904, with a warning that he would be

Flag C
Petition dt 28-11-03

x Flag D
memo No 104 dt 9-12-03

⊛ Flag E
No 831 dt 14-12-03

dismissed, if any favourable report were received against him. The Deputy Commissioner passed the following order:—

* Flag F

* "He has been degraded permanently, I understand.

Memo No 156 dt 6-1-04 & Verde Flag E

4 The records do not show any charge against the petitioner than the report of the medical officer nor is there anything in the records to show that the petitioner's defence was taken before the Deputy Commissioner passed orders on 1-11-03, dismissing the petitioner.

Flag G

5. On 24th February 1904, the late Daroga submitted an appeal petition to Government against the order of the Deputy Commissioner. This petition was forwarded to the President for report with records

Flag H

No 3406/2476-03 dt 8-3-04

Flag I

No 781 dt 29-4-04

6. The President (Sir Pattanaik)

Reports that the Damage was reduced on account of his Carelessness in the discharge of his duties on the Report made by the Medical officer in his letter No 418 dated 30th October 1903 referred to in para 1 of the Note.

~~The point for consideration is whether the damage of Rs 20 for reinstatement should be granted to be granted under the circumstances.~~

~~Submitted for orders.~~

Submitted for orders.

27/5/04

29/5/04

The present Sub/Conr (Mr Subramaniam) does not give his own opinion in the matter. The punishment awarded appears severe. The man may perhaps be re-appointed to his original place with a warning. The degradation inflicted may hold good up to the date of restoration.

GL 2/6/04

Subd to the Dewan through the 1st Mr

This is a hard case.

1565/2-6-04.

5

Re 31-10-03 the Sub. Asst
Surgeon makes a report in
general terms - The next
day, on renewal of
that report without calling
for any explanation from
the Darga or report from
the Assistant C. Surgeon
lays orders the officials
degradation to a Peon -
The man should be tried for
a reconsideration of the order
the case on 9/12/03 for -
-warda his petition to -
-the Commissioner
"for favor of opinion". This
D.C does not the case was
not disposed of to restore the
Peon - And till on
receipt of the Commissioner's
report which is absent was
a recommendation for
reinstatement made a
reminder, the case on 6/1/04
makes the note " He has
been degraded Remarkably
I understand". This led not
to absents amongst all
orders delaying to dispose
the case on the receipt the
Commissioner recommended
-tion - the S. Secretary
called for the Papers from

b

[illegible] I would [illegible] the [illegible] and
[illegible] to [illegible] will not
[illegible] any [illegible]
[illegible] recommendation
[illegible] Dy Town Rd [illegible] that
[illegible] Resolution had been
[illegible] Permanently - The
[illegible] matter might [illegible] a petition
[illegible] be [illegible] by the Auditor
[illegible] & Vice President [illegible] the
[illegible] Attorney [illegible] said he [illegible] advised
[illegible] making [illegible]
[illegible] matter which [illegible] already
[illegible] settled by the Dy Town
[illegible] Council the present resolu-
-tion to stand by the Resolution
effd - I agree with the Secy
[illegible] & [illegible] amended accordingly
[illegible] the present Dy Town
[illegible] Clerk the name into the
clause -

9/6/04

11/6/04 This is too small a
matter for Court to interfere
with - I would say that
the Town Council of the
present Dy Town
[illegible]

12.VI.04 This is all
[illegible] I object
[illegible] the present Dy Town Council
[illegible]

[illegible] Symbol with draft
to the 1st before issue 16/6/04

[illegible] 11/6

[illegible] 11/6/04

Mad
91 of 03

Transferred to the
Local Boards (Munl.)
Branch - 26/4/04

2-4

Rs. 2/8/04 = one ref. 97
Office memo put up 29/4/04

To P. Hyderabad

190 4916

No. 1947.

Name of Petitioner Raghavendra Rao
Late Municipal Daroga of Sidlagatta.

Village
Taluk Sera

District Tumkur

Date of Arzi
Date of Receipt 24-2-04.

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Two

ABSTRACT

States that he was first employed as Kotwal of the Sidlagatta Taluk on Rs.7 and then promoted to the appointment of the Municipal Daroga on Rs.10 and subsequently he had his pay increased by Rs.2. on being found efficient for work. Such being the case the acting Amildar on making a report against the petitioner to the Resident and Deputy Commissioner

MGCPB—5,000—10-11-03

the Daroga of Tollygunge is reported as absolutely useless. He is reduced to Sahib Peon and Ryadeja is appointed Daroga in his stead. This order was communicated to the Petitioner on 28-11-93.

Prays therefore that his case may be favorably considered on perusal of the records and orders passed that his former appointment (Daroga) may be given to him and also that his pay

Daroga may be ordered to be distributed &c.

28/2/94

1944
MR

24 2/44 24/2

Mysore Government
General and Revenue Secretariat
Petition Department
Received on [illegible]
Date 24-2-44

To

Sir P.

Sir I have the honour to transmit to you the petition of Raghavendra Rao Municipal Daroga, Siddlaghatta Town, Kolar District, for disposal.

Endorsement

1947

An appeal petition of against the order No. 156 dated 6-1-04 of the Deputy Commissioner Kolar District thro. the Amildar of Siddlaghatta.

Honoured Sir,

The appeal petition of Raghavendra Rao Municipal Daroga, Siddlaghatta Town, Kolar District most respectfully sheweth

1. The appellant was appointed Kotwal of the Vellore Bungalow at Siddlaghatta on a salary of Rs. The then amildar of the Taluk saw his earnestness in his duties and appointed him the Municipal Daroga on the same salary. The salary continued to be Rs. 7 till the year 1895, and in that year the then officer of the Taluk increased his pay to Rs. 10. in consideration of the interest he showed in the performance of his duties

2. The town of Siddlaghatta being a [illegible] the Municipal authorities of the [illegible] recommended the appellant, in consideration of the good services rendered by him in his work for a promotion of Rs. two. The [illegible] peon under him was [illegible] to the Taluk office and one Boyatiga who had been [illegible] was transferred to the [illegible] finding it impossible to get on with them [illegible]

of his requests was granted. While this was the
broke out and the Amildar ordered that 4 sweepers
with 4 sweepers should serve under the Hospital.
In spite of the difficiency of the establishment
caused, the appellant managed the following
of business with great earnestness to the s
of his superiors
(1) Writing business
(2) Keeping the town clean
(3) Levelling the ground for erecting plague
(4) Supplying fuel to the cremation of those
died of plague.
(5) Disinfection of the town.
(6) Taking care of the municipal garden
the collection of municipal taxes.

While the appellant was satisfactorily
to these duties, the peon Byatiga was busy
tales to the hospital assistant and
was subsequently made to act for the Amil
who in his regime as acting Amildar,
wrote against the appellant to the Deputy
and the latter was pleased to issue an order
the effect that the peon Byatiga was to
appellant's place and the appellant
degraded to the peon's place.

3. When the appellant humbly petitioned the
Commissioner mentioning the above
Deputy Commissioner, referred the matter to
Assistant Commissioner. The General
Commissioner told the appellant in person on
that he was recommended to his

post and that he would be made to suffer appeal from the Deputy Commissioner. He is victim of circumstances to be recommended. Nevertheless, to the appellants misfortune the Dy. Deputy Commissioner has passed to order that his degradation was confirmed in his order No. 156 6-1-54.

4. From the facts mentioned in paras 1&2, your honour can plainly see that the appellant is not quite useless.

5. By origin, the newly appointed Daroy does not know how to read and write. He is a native of the same place this son too is a poor there.

6. The appellant has put in 13 years' service. He is very poor & has a large family to support. Now that he has been degraded, he is put to great trouble having so many hungry mouths to feed.

7 The appellant further begs to bring to the knowledge of the Dewan that no charges were framed against him and that he was not asked to furnish any explanation before the order of degradation was passed by the Deputy Commissioner, though this benign Government has been pleased to order that the individuals so concerned should be given an opportunity of furnishing an explanation before the orders of punishment are passed.

8. The petitioner therefore humbly begs that his case may be kindly taken into the benign consideration of the Dewan that he, the petitioner begs to be given his back pay & the pay due to him which becomes due to him from the date of degradation to the time of restoration for which act of benevolence & justice, he will ever pray for your honour's long life & prosperity

P.T.O

4-2-0

Vide appellant's address:-
Dr. Raghavendrachar
C/o
H. Narasappa, schoolmaster
Sira

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

LOCAL BOARDS BRANCH.

FILE No. 92-03

2

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition

Registered No. 1947 ...dated 21st Feb. 1903.

received at the Dewan's Office from.

Raghavendra Rao, late Municipal Daroga of Siddaghatta, appealing against the Kolar Deputy Commr's order no. 156 dt 16th January 1903 degrading him from the post of the Municipal Daroga of Siddaghatta ~~is forwarded, together with its~~ to that of the Revenue peon in that place; and praying that he may be restored to his former appointment; is forwarded together with its two enclosures, to the President of the Municipalities, Kolar for ~~early~~ report ~~with the~~ By Order, with records

Sw 2606 8/3/04
L.F. 74-02

Re: the petn: with its two enclosures.

Submitted. In a case of 03 [illegible]

BANGALORE,

190

Secretary.

MGCPB—21-7-02—500.

Case dismissed

on the ground that the

Plaintiff did not fall within the provisions of

~~Local Courts (Extension of Jurisdiction)~~ Act

Rules of Court No 190 of 11-7-03

(unpublished) with Order No 2718-49 of Petn 421 / Monthly Vol Journal

September 1898 but it was

proceeded to try. By Consent

of Court (Vide O. M. No 5516 of 28/8/1901 Flag A & Ref L.J.303-96

In this connection the Secy to

Govt held that the case did not fall

within the terms of the order of

883. and ordered that the Petn

should be proceeded with by Commr

of Court unless there were

precedents in the Petn Dept. This

Dept was not able to find any precedents.

There would appear to be no

Immediate ~~would appear~~ that no

appeal lies in the case

14/3/04 If petitions complaining of dismissal

are to be returned for submission thro the

DJ the Superior the order will be noted for guidance

14/3/04

Where the case is

not quite so. Report for be

recovery & have been authorised by the

Dy Comm & ~~Collect~~ Collect & Govt (Vide

Flag A) Here again the Court rightly

C. Fees & Charges in this case - I think

Govt to pay fees

L 2389

From Secretary

To L. Assam the same Row Liqr. &
Asst. Secretary to the Govt. of N.W.P.
the Inspector of Import Revd. & Excise
Dated 29th April 1904
Despatched
Received
General No. 787
No.
Enclosure No. file

Submitting Records in
Connection with the degradation
of Raghunandan Row late
Honorary Darogah of Siddhaur

74-02

III Quarter 1/5
Dep
19-5-04

Sir Mow
No 2/5/04
FILE No. 71 of 03
SERIAL No. 3

No 4980 dated 21-6-04

Office of the President of Municipal
Board Kolar district. Dated
29th April 1904

From

K. S. Puttanna Chetty Esquire
President

To

L. Anantharaami Row Esquire
Asst: Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of
Mysore Revenue and General
departments

Sir

Adverting to official Memorandum
No. 3406 / D.7.74-02 dated 8th March 1904 forwarding
the appeal petition of Raghavendra Row, late
Municipal Daroga of Siddaghatta regarding
his degradation to the post of the Revenue
peon in that Taluk and calling
for records connected therewith, I have
the honor to submit herewith the entire
records connected therewith and to state
that he was reduced on account of his

carelessness in the discharge of his duties as reported by the District Medical Officer in his letter No. 418 dated 30th October 1903

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedient servant

W. W. Cumming
President

Read.

SECTION Revenue

FILE No. 91 of 03

Serial No. 4

Letter No 781 dated the 29th April 1904, from the Deputy Commissioner & President of the Municipalities in the Kolar District submitting the report called for in his office No 3406 of 03 dated 8th March 1904, on the petition of Rayappa Rao, late Daroga of the Siddapatta Municipality appealing against the orders of the Deputy Commissioner of Kolar degrading him to the post of Revenue Peon of the place

Order thereon

From the records it appears that the Daroga of Siddapatta Municipality was degraded

W 4980 of 04-05
D 4-74. 02.

6 of those facts on the report
of the Sub Agent Surgeon
that the Darogah was negligent in the discharge of his duties
in general terms. The
Darogah's application to the
Dy Commr was forwarded
for the opinion of Mr H. R.
Spencer in charge of the Zaleh;
Spencer took on his
recommendation for rein
statement with a warning
Mr Carr said that it was understood that the
degradation has been ordered
permanently. ^ The Pets appeals against
this order.
The Darogah's punishment appears
severe, but the Petitioner, has not given
his own opinion in the matter,
I would therefore have the case, which appears to be
a hard one in the
heads of the
present Report
Commr for Dy Commr
as he may deem suitable. 17/6/04

17/6/04

17/6/04

17/6/04

To. The Dy Commr & President
of municipalities in the Kolar
with file & enclosures. Issued 1876

Yes